2008 - 2011 SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS PUBLIC LAW 110-343 TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee: PWS Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): Funding Fiscal Year(s): FY11, FY12

2. Project Name: Whittier Invasive Weeds Control - PHASE II - MONITORING	3a. State: AK 3b. County(s): N/A
4. Project Submitted By: Kate Mohatt	5. Date: 01/20/2010
6. Contact Phone Number: (907) 754-2348	7. Contact E-mail: kmohatt@fs.fed.us

8. Project Location: In and near the town of Whittier		
a. National Forest(s): Chugach	b. Forest Service District: Glacier	
c. Location (Township-Range-Section): T8N, R4E, Sections 15, 22, 23, 24		

9. Project Goals and Objectives: This is the second phase of the Whittier Invasive Weeds control project. The overall goal of this project is to eradicate several high priority invasive plant species using manual control efforts. This second phase will provide funds to adequately monitor sites where control work was done (Phase I) to ensure targeted invasive species are sufficiently controlled or eradicated.

10. Project Description:

a. Brief: (*in one sentence*) Phase II of this project would fund necessary monitoring and spot treatments of control sites to verify that invasive plant treatments were successful.

b. Detailed:

White sweet clover (*Melilotus alba*) is a highly invasive non-native species found in and near the town of Whittier. It has the ability to spread, persist and degrade native ecosystems. This is the only known location of this species in the Prince William Sound zone, so eradication of it is a high priority before it spreads into neighboring National Forest Lands. Other species of concern have also been found in the Whittier area and will be manually controlled through Phase One of this project. These species include bull thistle (*Cirsium vulagare*) and narrowleaf hawks beard (*Crepis tectorum*). These species respond well to manual control, thus not requiring any herbicide applications or NEPA documentation. **Seeds produced by these species can remain viable in the soil for many years, thus monitoring and spot treatments will be required ensure success.** Monitoring of treated areas to determine efficacy will be by FS ecology staff. Monitoring funds will be used for staff time, use of vehicles, and supplies. **See below for details of monitoring plan.**

Version: September 2009

11. Types of Lands Involved?					
State/Private/Other lands involved? Yes No					
Land Status: State, private and City lands					
If Yes, specify: City of Whittier, Private, and	If Yes, specify: City of Whittier, Private, and State lands.				
12. How does the proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? (Check at least 1)					
☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.					
☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.					
Restores and improves land health.					
□ Restores water quality					
13. Project Type a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1)					
Road Maintenance	☐ Trail Maintenance				
Road Decommission/Obliteration	☐ Trail Obliteration				
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):					
Soil Productivity Improvement	Forest Health Improvement				
	Wildlife Habitat Restoration				
Fish Habitat Restoration	Control of Noxious Weeds				
Reestablish Native Species	☐ Fuels Management/Fire Prevention				
Implement CWPP Project	Other Project Type (specify):				
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1):					
14. Identify What the Project Will Accomp	lish				
Miles of road maintained:					
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:					
Number of structures maintained/improved:					
Acres of soil productivity improved: 10					
Miles of stream/river restored/improved: 0.01					
Miles of fish habitat restored/improved:					
Acres of native species reestablished: 10					
Acres of hazardous fuel treatment					
Miles of trail maintained:					
Miles of trial obliterated:					

Version: April 2009

Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduction):			
Acres of rangeland improved:			
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved: 10			
Acres of noxious weeds controlled: 10			
Timber volume generated (mbf):			
Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to near	arest tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks: 0		
People reached (for environmental education project	ets/fire prevention):		
Direct economic activity benefit:			
Other:			
15. Estimated Project Start Date: July, 2011	16. Estimated Project Completion Date: September, 2012		
 18. Identify benefits to communities. Reduction or elimination of invasive plant species enhances recreational opportunities and aesthetics of the area. Further, ensuring removal of these undesired species enhances native plant diversity. Invasive plants growing along river ways also have the potential to degrade watershed condition, so removal of these species can improve watershed health. Controlling small populations of invasive plants now greatly reduces the costs of future treatments if they are left to spread. 19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? This project may limit or prevent the spread of invasive plants to federal lands. If invasive plants spread, they have the potential to reduce native plant diversity and degrade ecosystem health. Prevention or limiting spread of noxious weeds now will greatly reduce costs for control in the future. 			
20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Acco			
⊠ Contract	Federal Workforce		
County Workforce	Volunteers		
Grant	Agreement		
Americorps	YCC/CCC Crews		
Job Corps	Stewardship Contract		
Merchantable Timber Pilot	Other (specify):		
21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Timber? Yes No			

Version: April 2009

22. Anticipated Project Costs
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$7,468
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ⊠ Yes ☐ No

23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding:

24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment)

- a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tracking and explaining the effects of this project on your environmental and community goals outlined above.
- b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring
- c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):

Monitoring will be provided by USFS Ecology Staff throughout the duration of the project. The first phase of this project will involve surveying Whittier and surrounding areas for invasive plant populations and mapping their location and size. This data will be added the FS-NRIS corporate database as well as AKEPIC statewide invasive plant database. Populations of the highest ranking species will be prioritized for eradication. The second phase of this project (as proposed here) will include monitoring of all areas treated by revisiting the sites two weeks post treatment and again the following year to determine effectiveness of treatment. Efficacy will be ascertained by comparing pretreatment percent cover and infestation size with post treatment. As seeds remain viable for years in the soil, spot-treatments to control any emerging seedlings during monitoring will likely be necessary for successful eradication. Funding needed to carry out monitoring totals \$7,468

25. Identify remedies for failure to comply with the terms of the agreement.
If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreement:
☑ Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account.
Other, please explain:

Project Recommended By:

Project Approved By:

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Chairperson

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Forest Supervisor

Resource Advisory Committee

National Forest

Version: April 2009

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions*	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys				
b. NEPA/CEQA				
c. ESA Consultation				
d. Permit Acquisition				
e. Project Design & Engineering				
f. Contract/Grant Preparation				
g. Contract/Grant Administration				
h. Contract/Grant Cost				
i. Salaries				
j. Materials & Supplies				
k. Monitoring		\$7,468		
Other 1. 2, Partner Indirect Cost				
m. Project Sub-Total				
n. FS Indirect Costs				
Total Cost Estimate		\$7,468		

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- n. Forest Service indirect costs, including contracting/grant officer costs if needed.

Version: April 2009 5



Figure 1: White sweet clover growing below the Pedestrian Tunnel sign in Whittier.

Version: April 2009 6